

A selection of Neighbourhood Plan survey data

What do you value most about living in the Parish? Tick any of the following that apply

1	Open and green spaces		86.05%	185
2	The rural character of the Parish		85.58%	184
3	Local wildlife and habitats		73.02%	157
4	Sense of community		59.53%	128
5	Access to London and other major towns and cities		37.67%	81
6	Friendly and safe environment		79.07%	170
7	The schools		21.40%	46
8	The churches and graveyards		31.63%	68
9	Access to the countryside		87.91%	189
10	Transport links		20.93%	45

If the Parish is to grow and develop what do you think that further development should include?

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Building inside the village boundaries		46.95%	77
2	Building outside village boundaries		51.83%	85
3	Development in private gardens		22.56%	37

Thinking about where you live, how would you like it to be described in 15 years time? Tick up to five options.

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Accessible		16.36%	35
2	Affordable		18.69%	40
3	Rural		82.24%	176
4	Environmentally forward-thinking		25.70%	55
5	Friendly		73.36%	157
6	Prosperous		14.95%	32
7	Safe		74.77%	160
8	Traditional		40.19%	86
9	Tranquil		56.07%	120
10	Vibrant		2.80%	6
11	Historical		28.04%	60
12	Attractive		46.73%	100
13	Thriving		23.83%	51

Which of the following business locations would you like to see kept for commercial use? Tick all that apply.

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Home Farm		68.25%	144
2	Barwick Manor Farm		62.56%	132
3	Marshall's Farm		66.82%	141
4	Rennesley Farm		63.03%	133
5	Sawtrees Farm		62.09%	131
6	Sutes Farm		68.72%	145
7	Oakley Coachbuilders		60.19%	127
8	Rennesley Works		38.86%	82
9	Max Wright		48.34%	102
10	Biffa Landfill		14.22%	30
11	Hanbury Manor		90.52%	191
12	The Anchor		88.15%	186
13	The Feathers		93.84%	198
14	The Sow and Pigs		86.73%	183
15	The White Horse		72.99%	154
16	Thundridge Village Stores		91.00%	192
17	Jet Petrol Station		90.05%	190
18	Thundridge Business Park		51.66%	109
19	Ermine Point Business Park		30.81%	65
20	The Yard (Builders Yard, Cambridge Rd, High Cross)		31.75%	67

If money was available to invest in infrastructure where should it be spent? Tick any of the following.

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Sewerage systems		24.29%	51
2	Surface water drainage		44.76%	94
3	Water supply		8.10%	17
4	Electricity		7.14%	15
5	Gas		2.86%	6
6	Mobile phone reception		38.10%	80
7	Broadband		49.52%	104
8	Pavements		38.57%	81
9	Roads		63.81%	134
10	Cycle routes		22.86%	48
11	Improvement to village halls		31.43%	66
12	Community energy projects		15.24%	32
13	Sports facilities		21.43%	45
14	Recreational areas		45.71%	96
15	Allotments		17.14%	36

A selection of Neighbourhood Plan survey data

Do you regularly experience parking or access issues in these locations in the Parish? Please also indicate if you feel that parking restrictions and/or a car park is required in that location

	Do not use	Yes	No	Parking restrictions required?	Car park required?	Response Total
Thundridge: Ermine Street	16.5% (26)	31.6% (50)	31.0% (49)	13.3% (21)	7.6% (12)	158
Thundridge: Village Hall	15.8% (23)	26.0% (38)	33.6% (49)	5.5% (8)	19.2% (28)	146
Thundridge: Business Park	18.1% (34)	23.4% (44)	17.6% (33)	20.2% (38)	20.7% (39)	188
Thundridge: St Mary's Church	27.2% (28)	15.5% (16)	46.6% (48)	1.0% (1)	9.7% (10)	103
Thundridge: The School	25.8% (32)	21.0% (26)	32.3% (40)	10.5% (13)	10.5% (13)	124
High Cross: North Drive	17.1% (24)	25.0% (35)	34.3% (48)	11.4% (16)	12.1% (17)	140
High Cross: Village Hall	17.9% (21)	19.7% (23)	46.2% (54)	3.4% (4)	12.8% (15)	117
High Cross: St John's Church	27.8% (27)	11.3% (11)	52.6% (51)	1.0% (1)	7.2% (7)	97
High Cross: The School	29.0% (29)	9.0% (9)	48.0% (48)	5.0% (5)	9.0% (9)	100

Rate your concern about the following road safety issues from 1-5 (1 very concerning, 5 not concerned).

	N/A	1 (most)	2	3	4	5 (least)	Response Total
Pedestrian/wheelchair							
Speed of cars	1.7% (3)	54.1% (98)	20.4% (37)	16.6% (30)	3.3% (6)	3.9% (7)	181
Lack of pavement	2.8% (4)	25.0% (36)	18.1% (26)	20.1% (29)	12.5% (18)	21.5% (31)	144
Poor lighting	3.8% (5)	21.2% (28)	11.4% (15)	16.7% (22)	16.7% (22)	30.3% (40)	132
Potholes	0.6% (1)	49.7% (87)	22.3% (39)	14.9% (26)	7.4% (13)	5.1% (9)	175
Inconsiderate parking	1.2% (2)	50.3% (82)	21.5% (35)	12.3% (20)	7.4% (12)	7.4% (12)	163
Cycle							
Speed of cars	5.4% (5)	47.3% (44)	19.4% (18)	15.1% (14)	5.4% (5)	7.5% (7)	93
Lack of pavement	9.8% (8)	8.5% (7)	1.2% (1)	18.3% (15)	15.9% (13)	46.3% (38)	82
Poor lighting	8.9% (7)	10.1% (8)	7.6% (6)	26.6% (21)	12.7% (10)	34.2% (27)	79
Potholes	3.3% (3)	51.1% (47)	17.4% (16)	13.0% (12)	8.7% (8)	6.5% (6)	92
Inconsiderate parking	6.7% (6)	24.7% (22)	23.6% (21)	13.5% (12)	11.2% (10)	20.2% (18)	89

The following relate to the type of parking provision developers should make within developments. East Herts District Council stipulates the number of spaces that should be included which is dependent on the size of the property.

	Yes	No	Don't know	Response Total
Should garages be included within parking space allocations?	42.2% (79)	40.6% (76)	17.1% (32)	187
Is communal parking preferable to private off-street parking?	27.2% (50)	54.3% (100)	18.5% (34)	184
Should allocated parking be designed so cars can be parked one behind the other within the plot boundary?	37.4% (68)	39.0% (71)	23.6% (43)	182
Should allocated parking be designed so cars can be parked next to each other within the plot boundary?	71.4% (132)	10.8% (20)	17.8% (33)	185
Post development, should garages be retained and prevented from conversion	57.3% (106)	19.5% (36)	23.2% (43)	185
Is on-street parking acceptable within new developments?	18.2% (35)	70.3% (135)	11.5% (22)	192
Should communal visitor parking be included within new developments?	88.4% (168)	3.2% (6)	8.4% (16)	190

Which of the following types of housing development do you feel would be acceptable in the Parish?

	Within Village Boundaries	On Edge of Village Boundaries	Outside Village Boundaries	Not Acceptable	Response Total
Infill on brownfield sites	36.6% (90)	23.6% (58)	23.6% (58)	16.3% (40)	246
Infill on greenfield sites	10.6% (20)	9.0% (17)	11.6% (22)	68.8% (130)	189
Infill in gardens of existing properties	30.1% (68)	15.0% (34)	14.2% (32)	40.7% (92)	226
Demolition of existing housing for development	25.7% (55)	15.0% (32)	14.5% (31)	44.9% (96)	214
Small - up to 6 plots on undeveloped land	27.4% (63)	27.0% (62)	21.7% (50)	23.9% (55)	230
Medium - 7- 20 plots on undeveloped land	8.7% (16)	12.0% (22)	13.7% (25)	65.6% (120)	183
Large - over 20 plots on undeveloped land	4.0% (7)	2.3% (4)	7.5% (13)	86.1% (149)	173
Development within the greenbelt	4.3% (8)	3.8% (7)	4.3% (8)	87.6% (163)	186
Demolition of existing business premises for housing	30.9% (73)	19.9% (47)	20.3% (48)	28.8% (68)	236

Which types and sizes of property do you think are needed in the Parish, or you would like to move to, if they were built? Please tick all that apply.













	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	4 bed	5 bed	Not needed	Response Total
Flat	21.4% (18)	31.0% (26)	3.6% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	44.0% (37)	84
Maisonette	11.1% (8)	33.3% (24)	4.2% (3)	0.0% (0)	1.4% (1)	50.0% (36)	72
Terraced Housing	1.8% (2)	34.2% (38)	33.3% (37)	3.6% (4)	0.9% (1)	26.1% (29)	111
Semi Detached Housing	0.7% (1)	21.1% (30)	47.9% (68)	9.2% (13)	1.4% (2)	19.7% (28)	142
Detached Housing	0.0% (0)	8.5% (13)	26.8% (41)	31.4% (48)	13.1% (20)	20.3% (31)	153
Mews Style Housing	1.9% (2)	25.9% (28)	32.4% (35)	8.3% (9)	2.8% (3)	28.7% (31)	108
Bungalows	10.9% (16)	45.6% (67)	19.7% (29)	4.1% (6)	0.7% (1)	19.0% (28)	147
Other	3.6% (1)	3.6% (1)	7.1% (2)	3.6% (1)	0.0% (0)	82.1% (23)	28

A selection of Neighbourhood Plan survey data

If suitable properties were available in the Parish would you be interested in moving into a property that provided any of the following options? If so, please indicate approximate timescales.

	Next 5 years	5 - 10 years	10 - 15 years	No	Response Total
Upsizing	6.7% (11)	4.3% (7)	0.6% (1)	88.4% (145)	164
Downsizing	8.4% (15)	12.3% (22)	12.3% (22)	67.0% (120)	179
Same size but different style	5.6% (9)	4.3% (7)	3.7% (6)	86.4% (140)	162
Accommodating care/support needs (bungalow/annexe etc)	4.3% (7)	8.5% (14)	15.9% (26)	71.3% (117)	164
Closer to public transport	2.5% (4)	6.9% (11)	9.4% (15)	81.1% (129)	159
Need to change tenure (e.g. from renter to owner)	5.4% (8)	3.4% (5)	0.0% (0)	91.3% (136)	149
Current property meets existing and future needs and are therefore happy to remain here	23.3% (38)	16.0% (26)	35.6% (58)	25.2% (41)	163

Please indicate your top 5 of the following features which are the most important for new housing developments to include. Tick 5 options.

		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Low running costs e.g. maintenance, bills etc		46.97% 93
2	Garage suitable for a modern size car		32.32% 64
3	Maintaining existing views and sight lines for existing residents		78.28% 155
4	Incorporation of features to add character (e.g. cladding, brick details etc)		22.73% 45
5	Use of more sustainable building materials and construction techniques		21.21% 42
6	Provides some form of renewable energy (e.g. solar power/heating etc)		31.31% 62
7	Sufficient off road parking spaces		85.86% 170
8	How the properties look externally		52.02% 103
9	Size of reception rooms		6.06% 12
10	Size of bedrooms		9.09% 18
11	Number of bedrooms		9.60% 19
12	Number of storeys		10.61% 21
13	Size of garden		30.81% 61
14	Visitor parking		40.40% 80

"New houses in the area are so expensive and unaffordable to most people. Why can't developers build affordable housing?"

"Development should be restricted to smaller plots in order to ensure the village or parts of it are not totally swamped."

"Housing. I would like to see on any vacant plot, small semi detached houses suitable for young families."

"Develop 2 areas along Old Church Lane, Thundridge."

"Concern about the development creep from Ware North at the southern end of the parish. Green space should be maintained between our parish and Ware."

"I do not believe there is any need for further homes."

"If the Parish is to expand we need some more school and doctors surgeries, especially with the close proximity of Ware town housing developments."

"The increased parking along the road outside Thundridge Business Park is becoming hazardous – and it is always on the pavement."

"Really bad parking issues in North Drive."

"My greatest concern is the speed of traffic passing through Wadesmill in particular from High Cross to Village Hall Thundridge."

"Pot holes between The Sow & Pigs and A10 roundabout are dangerous."

"The roads are awful."

"Lack of dog bins or notices requesting dog owners to be responsible and clear up after their dogs. "

"Public transport – lack of it late evenings and weekends."

"I am happy with the parish of Thundridge as it is and would not like to see any changes or development at all. "

"Landfill odour & sewerage smells by Hanbury Manor"

"Declining community participation"

"Broadband speed and mobile phone reception are the two biggest issues."